

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,009	02/28/2002	Ashok Kumar Gupta	616.98USWO	5757
23552 75	590 06/10/2003			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLI	3 S, MN 55402-0903		CAMERON, ERMA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/070,009	GUPTA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erma C. Cameron	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely, THS from the mailing date of this communication, ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
1 . _ _	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prices application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) The translation of the foreign language pr		•
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
CO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 10/070,009

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a process that uses terpineol as the hydrophobic solvent, does not reasonably provide enablement for <u>any</u> hydrophobic solvent. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

See page 3 of the specification. There appears to be no evidence that solvents other than terpineol are operational in the claimed invention.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 1, line 9: the solvents makes it appear that more than one solvent is present.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,009

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06-203848.

'848 teaches applying a paste of an ion exchange resin such as Nafion 117, a Pt catalyst and a solvent to form a slurry that is then applied to a substrate, the solvent removed by evaporation, and the material hot-pressed to form a layer of a fuel cell (see Abstracts).

'848 does not teach that the fuel cell is a low-temperature fuel cell, but fuel cells in general would be inclusive of low-temperature fuel cells.

The solvent appears to be hydrophobic, as it is applied to a hydrophobically treated substrate.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,009

Art Unit: 1762

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma C. Cameron whose telephone number is 703-308-2330. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER Erma C. Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

June 7, 2003